

2005-2006 SES EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME:	Standards for Excellence
DISTRICTS SERVED:	Indianapolis Public Schools
# OF STUDENTS ENROLLED:	100
# OF STUDENTS COMPLETED:	63
GRADES:	K-12
TYPE OF DELIVERY:	Individual tutoring/small group instruction
DESCRIPTION:	See http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/detail-vendor2.cfm?recordID=0053
STUDENT/TEACHER RATIO:	5/1

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	60.32%
Overall score:	3.46/4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting:	100% (1/1)
District recommends continuation?:	Y (1/1 districts served)

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting:	0.00%
Overall Score:	n/a

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: **A**

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	60.32%
Overall score:	3.22/4.0

DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting:	100% (1/1)
Overall score:	100% (18/18 possible points)

PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting:	0.00%
Overall score:	n/a

ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	4.0/4.0
--------------------------------------	---------

SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:	A
--------------------------------	----------

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

COMPLETION RATE:	63.00%
-------------------------	--------

% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS (OF THOSE WHO COMPLETED):	100% (E/LA) 98.41% (Math)
--	------------------------------

TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	English/Math Diagnostic Test
---	------------------------------

% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS (BASED ON 100% SAMPLE REPORTED):	100% (E/LA); 98.4% (Math);
---	----------------------------

AVERAGE GAIN:	+5.27 (E/LA); +7.81 (Math)
----------------------	----------------------------

% CHANGE IN PRE/POST ASSESSMENT:	+13.40% (E/LA); +20.00% (Math);
---	---------------------------------

% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:	100%
---	------

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

For each provider, the ISTEP+ scale scores for each student who participated in SES were analyzed for 2005 and 2006 in English/Language Arts and Math. Only students who completed 80% of their programs and had ISTEP+ scores for both years were included in the analysis.

# OF STUDENTS COMPLETING 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:	67
(only students completing 80% of provider sessions are included in this analysis)	

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For the students served by Standards for Excellence in 2005-2006 who met the criteria described above, ISTEP+ scores grew an average of 12 points for Mathematics and 7 points for English/Language Arts. 59% showed any growth in Mathematics, and 58% showed any growth in English/Language Arts. 41% of the students showed one year's worth of growth on ISTEP+ scale score for Mathematics, compared to 47% for English/Language Arts. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ in Mathematics stayed the same from 2005 to 2006, while the percentage passing ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts grew by 3 percentage points.

# OF STUDENTS: (of students completing 80% of the sessions, only those having ISTEP+ scores for both 2005 and 2006 were included in this analysis)	37 (Math); 36 (E/LA)	
CHANGE:	+12.4 (Math)	+7.1 (E/LA)
% SHOWING GROWTH ON ISTEP+ SCALE SCORE:	59% (Math)	58% (E/LA)
% SHOWING 1 YEAR'S GROWTH ON ISTEP+ SCALE SCORE:	41% (Math)	47% (E/LA)
% PASSING ISTEP+ (2005):	57% (Math)	36% (E/LA)
% PASSING ISTEP+ (2006):	57% (Math)	39% (E/LA)

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

MATHEMATICS

Where possible, each student who participated in SES was matched with a similar student who did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2005 ISTEP+ scale score. The chart below provides the results of the match comparison that demonstrates how the ISTEP+ scores and scale score growth of students who participated in SES compare to similar students who did not participate in SES. For Standards for Excellence, 24 matches out of 37 eligible students (65%) were found for Mathematics. For the group who participated in SES, 67% showed growth on ISTEP+, while 58% of the group that did not participate in SES showed any growth. 46% of the students who participated in SES showed one year's growth on ISTEP+, compared to 25% of the students who did not participate. The SES group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 21 points, while the non-participating matched group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 14 points.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2006)
SES	24	64.9%	67%	46%	21	67%
Not SES	24	64.9%	58%	25%	14	33%

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS

Where possible, each student who participated in SES was matched with a similar student who did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2005 ISTEP+ scale score. The chart below provides the results of the match comparison that demonstrates how the ISTEP+ scores and scale score growth of students who participated in SES compare to similar students who did not participate in SES. For Standards for Excellence, 26 matches out of 36 eligible students (72%) were found for English/Language Arts. For the group who participated in SES, 58% showed any growth on ISTEP+; a lower percentage of the group that did not participate in SES (46%) showed any growth. 50% of the students who participated in SES showed one year's growth on ISTEP+, compared to 27% of the students who did not participate in SES. The SES group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 8 points, while the non-participating matched group's average ISTEP+ score declined by 3 points.

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2006)
SES	26	72.2%	58%	50%	8	46%
Not SES	26	72.2%	46%	27%	-3	31%

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:

B+

OVERALL GRADE: A-